### A High-Resolution, Adaptive Beam-Shaping (HRABS) System in a Multi-Terawatt Laser



S.-W. Bahk, E. Fess, I. A. Begishev, and J. D. Zuegel University of Rochester Laboratory for Laser Energetics

ICUIL Conference Watkins Glen, NY 26 September – 1 October 2010

# A high-resolution beam-shaping system (HRABS) was demonstrated in a multi-terawatt laser

- Fluence spatial variations and wavefront errors limit the laser-system energy and focusable power density on target
  - HRABS improves both using a spatial-light modulator in a closed loop
- Beam shaping was demonstrated in an OPCPA-based multi-terawatt laser
  - peak-to-mean of fluence is reduced by about a factor of 2
  - HRABS is ready to be implemented in OMEGA EP long-pulse beamlines
- Damage threshold of the SLM is 230 mJ/cm<sup>2</sup>

HRABS improves the performance of high-power laser systems.

### An electrically addressed SLM and a high-resolution Shack-Hartmann wavefront sensor are primary devices

Hamamatsu LCOS SLM (X10468) Spatial Light Modulator (SLM)  $12 \times 16 \text{ mm}^2$ Area **Control points**  $600 \times 792 \ (20 \ \mu m)$ 2 waves Dynamic range at 1  $\mu$ m 1000 **Imagine Optic Shack–Hartmann** sensor (HASO128)  $14 \times 14 \text{ mm}^2$ Area X10468 head and controller Resolution  $133 \times 133 (114 \ \mu m)$ 



UR 🔌 LLE

Wavefront Sensor (WFS)



# HRABS controls amplitude by introducing high frequency phase and scattering light (carrier method)





V. Bagnoud and J. D. Zuegel, Opt. Letter 29, 295 (2004).

# The spatial registration error should be less than half the resolution of the measurement system

### Staircase beam-shaping simulation with various spatial registration errors (resolution = 142 $\mu$ m)



Numerical optimization is used to overcome this problem



# The influence of energy fluctuation is stabilized by using a spatially disjoint anchoring technique

- The fluctuation in total energy of a laser beam renders the closed-loop operation unstable
  - the algorithm cannot distinguish whether the fluence change was caused by its own control or by energy fluctuation
- A two-step iteration overcomes this problem (assuming no extra energy measurement)
  - two disjoint regions are sequentially used for energy scaling



Illustration of the two-step iteration process used in flat-amplitude shaping

E19211

### HRABS was installed in a multiterawatt system\*



- SLM is installed after OPCPA
  - OPCPA is attenuated to 10% of the full energy
- Two wavefront sensors were installed
  - WFS1: near SLM, WFS2: on the compressor diagnostic table
  - wavefront sensors provide near-field images as well as wavefront

<sup>\*</sup>V. Bagnoud et al., Appl. Opt. <u>44</u>, 282 (2005).

# Peak-to-mode of the OPCPA beam improves from 45% to 20%



- p-m = 45% p-m = 20%
- relative rms = 21% relative rms = 5%

Peak-to-mode 
$$\equiv$$
 max of  $\begin{pmatrix} F_{actual} - F_{mode} \\ F_{mode} \end{pmatrix}$   
Relative rms  $\equiv$  rms of  $\begin{pmatrix} F_{actual} - F_{ideal} \\ F_{ideal} \end{pmatrix}$ 

### **OPCPA** wavefront is corrected within 0.01 waves rms





- p-v = 0.6 waves
- p–v = 0.066 waves
- rms = 0.09 waves
- rms = 0.007 waves

### Beam shaping converges within 20 iterations



\* Fluence and wavefront map at each iteration belongs to the same OPCPA pulse

# Wedge aberrations in the system introduce image blurring at WFS2



UR

the Abbe sine condition

- the more the tilt and the wedge angle, the more blurred
- A 3° wedge was found and removed for WFS2 imaging
  - there are still unexplained wedges distributed in the system

SLM map is numerically smoothed at each iteration.

## Peak-to-mode of the OPCPA beam improves from 40% to 25%



- p-m = 40% p-m = 25%
- relative rms = 9% relative rms = 7%

#### SLM map is smoothed by convolving with a blurring function.

### **OPCPA** wavefront is corrected within 0.04 waves rms

UR LLE



- p-v = 0.67 waves
- p–v = 0.19 waves
- rms = 0.16 waves
- rms = 0.04 waves

SLM map is smoothed by using Legendre basis functions.

### Laser-damage threshold of the SLM at 5 Hz is 230±10 mJ/cm<sup>2</sup>

- Small-spot damage threshold ranges from 0.6 to 2 J/cm<sup>2</sup> indicating defectlimited performance
  - large area damage test is needed
- The damage threshold is determined by slowly ramping up the energy over a large sample area
  - distribution of defects is sparse (about 4 pixels over the whole area)
  - damage does not necessarily occur at the peak fluence
  - three samples (one active, two passive) exhibit the same damage threshold



Total area of SLM **Pixel number** 

UR 🔌

The SLM sample survived 9 h of irradiation (5 Hz) at an apparent energy density of 230 mJ/cm<sup>2</sup>.

– cf. apparent damage fluence is 280 mJ/cm<sup>2</sup>.

# A high-resolution beam-shaping system (HRABS) was demonstrated in a multi-terawatt laser

- Fluence spatial variations and wavefront errors limit the laser-system energy and focusable power density on target
  - HRABS improves both using a spatial-light modulator in a closed loop
- Beam shaping was demonstrated in an OPCPA-based multi-terawatt laser
  - peak-to-mean of fluence is reduced by about a factor of 2
  - HRABS is ready to be implemented in OMEGA EP long-pulse beamlines
- Damage threshold of the SLM is 230 mJ/cm<sup>2</sup>

HRABS improves the performance of high-power laser systems.